Case Id: 0aa71cba-4fbb-495a-b796-1857b6a9b97d

Date: 19/08/2015 21:58:16

Public Consultation on the Circular Economy

Fields marked with * are mandatory.

Frequently Asked Questions on the Consultation on Circular **Economy-** the file is available for download here:

FAQs Circular Economy.pdf

1 Introduction

Global competition for resources is increasing. Supply concentration of resources, particularly critical raw materials outside the European Union, makes European industry and society dependent on imports and increasingly vulnerable to high prices, market volatility, and the political situation in supplying countries. At the same time, natural resources are often used unsustainably across the globe, causing additional pressure on raw materials, environmental degradation and threats to ecosystems. This trend will increase with changes in world population and patterns of economic growth.

A 'circular economy' aims to maintain the value of the materials and energy used in products in the value chain for the optimal duration, thus minimising waste and resource use. By preventing losses of value from materials flows, it creates economic opportunities and competitive advantages on a sustainable basis.

Moving towards a more circular economy can promote competitiveness and innovation, a high level of protection for humans and the environment, and bring major economic benefits, thus contributing to job creation and growth. A circular economy fosters sustainable development in which environmental, economic and social dimensions go hand in hand. It can also provide consumers with longer-lasting and innovative products that save them money and improve their quality of life.

A successful transition towards a circular economy requires action at all stages in the value chain: from the extraction and transportation of raw materials, through material and product design, production, distribution and consumption of goods, repair, remanufacturing and reuse schemes, to waste management and recycling.

In December 2014, the Commission announced the withdrawal of its legislative proposal for the review of waste legislation, to be replaced by a new, more ambitious, initiative for the promotion of the circular economy by the end of 2015.

This initiative aims at promoting the transition to the circular economy through a comprehensive, coherent approach that fully reflects interactions and interdependence along the whole value chain, rather than focusing exclusively on one part of the economic cycle. It will comprise a revised legislative proposal on waste and a Communication setting out an action plan on the circular economy for the rest of this Commission's term of office. The action plan will cover the whole value chain, and focus on concrete measures with clear EU added value, aiming at 'closing the loop' of the circular economy. The circular economy initiative will also contribute to wider EU objectives such as the Energy Union, the climate objectives and resource efficiency.

Input from stakeholders and the public will be a key factor in the preparation of this work. The objective of this public consultation is to help the Commission to pinpoint and define the main barriers to the development of a more circular economy and to gather views regarding which measures could be taken at EU level to overcome such barriers.

Public consultations on the review of EU waste targets and on the sustainability of the food system took place in 2013 [The results of these public consultations can be found here]. This consultation therefore focuses on other points relating to the transition to a circular economy, broadening the scope of inquiry to other parts of the economic cycle (e.g. the production and consumption phases) and general enabling framework conditions (e.g. innovation and investment). Please note that a separate public consultation on waste market distortions will be launched shortly. Stakeholders interested in waste markets may wish to respond to that consultation as well.

2 General information about respondents

★ 2.1. In what capacity are you completing	g this
questionnaire?	

- As an individual / private person
 Public authority
- Academic/research institution
 International organisation
- Civil society organisation
 Professional organisation
- Private enterpriseOther

Does your compa following?	ny/organization ma	ake use of any	of the		
EU eco-label					
■ EMAS					
Another envir	ronmental labelling	or managem	ent scheme		
	ental labelling or m	anagement s	cheme		
I don't know					
	e sectors your orga	anisation			
represents Construction			Transport		
Energy			Manufacturing		
Chemicals			_	electronic goods	6
Information a technologies	and communication		Textiles and cl	othing	
Furniture			Agriculture and	d fishery	
Food and dri	nk			gistics, wholesa	ıle, retail)
Hotel and car	tering industry		Recycling and management	other waste	
Repair service	es		Other: please	indicate	
Where are your molocated? U EU MS/ EEA Non-EU MS/					
Please specify EU companies:	J Member States/E	EA countries	of your member		
Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Croatia	Cyprus	Czech Republic
Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece
Hungary	Iceland	Ireland	Italy	Latvia	Liechtenstei
Lithuania	LuxembourgRomania	MaltaSlovakia	NetherlandsSlovenia	Norway	Poland
Portugal	United	■ Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden
Switzerland	Kingdom				
If your organisati	on is not registered	d, you can reg	ister now		
2.2. Please give	your country of				
residence/establ					
■ EU MS/ EEA					
Non-EU MS/	EEA				

Please specify the establishment:	e EU MS/EEA cour	ntry of your			
Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Croatia	Cyprus	Czech Republic
Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece
Hungary	Iceland	Ireland	Italy	Latvia	Liechtenstei
Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Norway	Poland
Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden
Switzerland	United Kingdom				
2.3. Please indic	ate your preferen rebsite:	ce for the pub	lication of your r	esponse on the	•
declare that n Anonymously that none of it	time given: I conset one of it is subject y: I consent to puble is subject to copyloplease keep my co	to copyright re lication of all in right restriction	strictions that prev formation in my co s that prevent pub	vent publication ontribution and I lication	declare
used internall	y within the Comm	ission)	·	•	
initiative?	ormed are you ab	out the chour	ar economy		
Very well info	ormed				
Fairly well inf	formed				
Not very well	informed				
Not informed	at all				
2.5. Please give name of your org	_	ying as an ind	ividual/private pe	erson, otherwis	e give the
Resource Ass	ociation				
If your organisation	on is registered in t	he Transparen	cy Register, pleas	e give your Reg	ister ID
200 character(s) m	aximum				
2.6. Please provi	de your email add	dress if you w	ould like to be in	formed of the c	outcome of
200 character(s) m	aximum				
Ray.Georgesc	on@resourceasso	ciation.com			

3 Production phase

The design of a material or product can facilitate recycling, extend its lifetime through reuse, refurbishment or repair and reduce its environmental impact by reducing its energy, waste generation or water consumption over its life cycle.

This section seeks your views on actions that you think the EU should take to promote the circular economy in the production stage, including product design, production and sourcing of materials.

3.1. How would you assess the importance of the following measures to promote circular economy principles in product design at EU level?

	very important	important	not very important	not important	no opinion
Establish binding rules on product design (e.g. minimum requirements on 'durability' under Ecodesign Directive 2009/125/EC)	•	0	0	•	0
Encourage industry-led initiatives (i.e. self-regulation)	0	•	0	•	0
Develop standards for voluntary use	0	0	0	•	0
Promote and/or enable the use of economic incentives for eco-innovation and sustainable product design (e.g. via rules on Extended Producer Responsibility schemes)	•	©	©	•	•
Review rules on legal and commercial guarantees	0	•	0	0	0
Encourage the consumption of green products (see section 4)	•	0	0	0	0
Other — please specify below	0	0	0	0	0

Glossary:

Legal guarantees: Tangible goods have a minimum two-year legal guarantee under EU consumer legislation (Directive 99/44/EC). This guarantee makes the seller liable to the consumer for any lack of conformity with the sales contract which exists at the time of delivery of the good and becomes apparent within two years from delivery of the goods.

Commercial guarantees: Guarantees provided by traders to consumers on a voluntary basis, by which the trader undertakes to reimburse the price paid or to replace, repair or handle consumer goods in any way if they do not meet the specifications set out in the guarantee statement or in the relevant advertising.

3.2. In order to facilitate the transition to a more circular economy, how would you assess the importance of the following product features?

	very important	important	not very important	not important	no opinion
Durability	•	0	0	0	0
Reparability: Availability of information on product repair (e.g. repair manuals)	•	0	0	0	0
Reparability: Product design facilitating maintenance and repair activities	•	0	0	0	0
Reparability: Availability of spare parts	•	0	0	0	0
Upgradability and modularity	•	0	0	0	0
Reusability	•	0	0	0	0
Biodegradability and compostability	0	•	0	0	0
Resource use in the use phase (e.g. water efficiency)	0	•	0	0	0
Recyclability (e.g. dismantling, separation of components, information on chemical content)	•	0	0	0	0
Increased content of reused parts or recycled materials	•	0	0	0	0
Increased content of renewable materials	•	0	0	0	0
Minimising lifecycle environmental impacts	•	0	0	0	0
Other- please specify below	0	0	0	0	0

3.3. How would you assess the importance of the following additional considerations when applying circular economy principles to products at EU level?

	very important	important	not very important	not important	no opinion
Impact on production cost and affordability of the product	0	•	0	0	0
Impact on production processes and value chain	0	•	0	0	0
Impact on consumers (e.g. through durability and reparability)	0	•	0	0	0
Functionality of the product	0	•	0	0	0
Enabling innovation	0	•	0	0	0
Respecting technology neutrality	0	•	0	0	0
Impact on EU imports and exports	0	•	0	0	0
Other — please specify below	0	0	0	0	0

3.4. From a circular economy perspective, in your view which product categories should be given priority in the next few years and why?

at most 3 choice(s)

- White goods (e.g. dishwashers, refrigerators)
- Small domestic appliances (e.g. microwave ovens, food processors)
- Office equipment (e.g. computers, printers)
- Small electronics (e.g. smartphones, cameras)
- Packaging materials
- Heating equipment (e.g. boilers, water heaters)
- Air-conditioning and ventilation systems
- Lighting products
- Motors and pumps
- Industrial equipment
- Clothing and textiles
- Furniture
- Cars
- Construction products (e.g. windows, insulation materials)
- General measures (concerning a broad range of products) should be taken
- Others

If you think that other product categories not listed above should be taken into account, please specify:

200 character(s) maximum

very difficult to prioritise different categories of products against each other as all have specific impacts on the environment and notion of circular economy should touch all sectors

Please give reasons for your choice: packaging materials

Packaging materials constitute a large portion of municipal solid waste. Increasingly, for example, plastics are used but recycling rates are extremely low in comparison to other materials. Plastics must be designed in such a way to facilitate ease of re-use and recycling.

Please give reasons for your choice: general measures

The concept of material efficiency and design for re-use, repair and recycling must be embedded in all products from the design stage in order to move towards a circular economy and support businesses who use these aspects to their advantage

Please give reasons for your choice: others

very difficult to prioritise different categories of products against each other as all have specific impacts on the environment and notion of circular economy should touch all sectors

3.5. Which of the actions listed below should be given priority at EU level to promote circular economy solutions in production processes?

	very important	important	not very important	not important	no opinion
Promote cooperation across value chains (e.g. through encouraging new managerial modes)	0	•	0	0	0
Address potential regulatory obstacles in EU legislation - please specify	•	0	0	0	0
Address potential regulatory gaps in EU legislation – please specify	0	•	0	0	0
Support the development of innovative business models (e.g. leasing)	0	•	0	0	0
Improve the interface between chemicals and waste legislation	0	•	0	0	0
Promote collaboration between and among private and public sectors, including end-users	0	•	0	0	0
Support the development of digital solutions	0	0	0	0	•
Identify and promote exchange of best practice	0	•	0	0	0

Identify minimum standards for increasing resource-efficient processes (e.g. Best Available Techniques)	0	•	0	0	•
Ensure availability of reliable data on material flows across value chains	0	•	0	0	0
Provide access to finance for high-risk projects	0	0	0	0	•
Other — please specify below	•	0	0	0	0

Please specify which regulatory obstacles you are referring to

200 character(s) maximum

Better implementation of green public procurement needed as well as implementation and enforcement of waste shipment regulations.

If you think that further options not listed above should be considered, please specify:

200 character(s) maximum

no appropriate legislation to set design requirements for non-energy related products; no criteria for implementing EPR; mandatory separate collection of recyclables and biowaste needed

Please specify which regulatory gaps you are referring to

300 character(s) maximum

Long term legal certainty needed in order to drive investment into recycling infrastructure

3.6. How effective do you think each of the actions at EU level listed below would be in promoting sustainable production and sourcing of raw materials?

	very effective	effective	neutral	not effective	no opinion
Establishing a legally binding framework at EU level (e.g. sustainability criteria)	•	0	0	0	0
Developing and promoting voluntary compliance schemes	0	0	•	•	•
Addressing the issue through trade policy	0	0	•	0	0
Addressing the issue through the promotion of targeted global initiatives	0	0	•	0	0
Promoting the exchange of best practice among businesses	0	0	•	0	0
Other — please specify below	•	0	0	0	0

If you think that further options not listed above should be considered, please specify:

200 character(s) maximum

Demand side measures are needed such as stronger enforcement of Green Public Procurement. Quality recycled products must have competitive advantages over virgin equivalents

3.7. Do you have any other comments about the production phase?

500 character(s) maximum

EU energy/biomass policies must align with circular economy objectives. For example increased use of waste to energy in the Commission's Energy Union Package poses a threat to increase incineration capacity and undermine the waste hierarchy. Legislation needed to promote quality recycling i.e. achieving a product, material or substance with similar properties to equivalent virgin raw material. UK voluntary measures such as the Dairy Roadmap have been ineffective in supporting the recycling industry

4 Consumption Phase

The consumers' perspective is an essential part of the circular economy. On the one hand, consumers make choices about the products they purchase and use; on the other hand these choices are affected by a range of factors, including the behaviour of other people, the way consumers receive information or advice, the availability of repair and maintenance services, and the perceived costs and benefits of their choices.

This section seeks your views on the best way to promote the circular economy in the consumption phase.

4.1. How would you assess the importance of the following measures to promote circular economy principles in the consumption phase at EU level?

	very important	important	not very important	not important	no opinion
Provide more information relevant to the circular economy to consumers, for example on expected lifetime of products or availability of spare parts	•	©	©	©	©
Ensure the clarity, credibility and relevance of consumer information related to the circular economy (e.g. via labels, advertising, marketing etc.) and protect consumers from false and misleading information in this respect	•	©	©	©	©
Organise EU-wide awareness campaigns to promote the circular economy	0	•	0	0	0
Improve/clarify rules and practices affecting consumer protection (e.g. relating to legal and commercial guarantees)	0	•	•	•	•

Take action on product and material design (see section 3)	•	0	0	0	0
Encourage financial incentives to consumers at national level (e.g. by differentiated taxation levels depending on products' resource efficiency)	•	•	©	©	©
Take measures targeting public procurement (e.g. through criteria for Green Public Procurement)	•	0	0	0	0
Encourage new modes of consumption such as shared ownership (e.g. car sharing), collaborative consumption, leasing and the use of internet-based solutions	•	•	•	•	©
Promote the development of repair and maintenance services	•	0	0	0	0
Encourage waste prevention (e.g. minimising food waste)	•	0	0	0	0
Other — please specify below	•	0	0	0	0

If you think that further options not listed above should be considered, please specify:

200 character(s) maximum

Promote transparency to consumers at national/regional level about what happens with waste they discard and where it goes. See the Resource Association's End Destination of Recycling Charter

4.2. Which products should be a priority for EU action to promote more sustainable consumption patterns and why?

at most 3 choice(s)

- White goods (e.g. dishwashers, refrigerators)
- Electronics
- Food and beverages
- Packaging materials
- Clothing and textiles
- Furniture
- Cars
- Construction products
- General measures (concerning all consumer products) should be taken
- Other please specify below

Please give reasons for your choice: packaging materials

200 character(s) maximum

targeted info needed for consumers to encourage source separation of packaging as well as harmonised systems of collection. National level measures needed to reduce amount of packaging consumers use

Please give reasons for your choice: general measures

200 character(s) maximum

EU consumers must be taught that less is more. Targeted campaigns at local/national level needed to prevent waste in the first place and reduce the amount of throughput into the system.

4.3. Do you have any other comments about the consumption phase?

500 character(s) maximum

EU level is not effective to promote educational campaigns to citizens or mere encouragement of national measures but should focus on actions with a real difference to the rules for the single market: product design, consumer information, guarantees, etc. Before developing new schemes binding rules for Green Public Procurement and consistency across different EU product policy instruments should be reinforced. Fiscal measures e.g. differentiated VAT rates according to the waste hierarchy welcome

5 Markets for secondary raw materials

Secondary raw materials are waste materials which are to be sold and used for recycling in manufacturing. At present, they still account for a very small portion of the material used in the EU. The quality and supply of secondary raw materials depends greatly on waste management practices and the degree of separation of material streams at source. However, other barriers to the development of markets for secondary raw materials can be identified. Some of these barriers may be of a horizontal nature, while others may only be relevant to specific types of material.

5.1. In your view, what are the main obstacles to the development of markets for secondary raw materials in the EU?

In the list below, for each material, indicate the obstacle(s) that you consider significant by ticking the corresponding cell(s)

	Significant for all materials	Bio-nutrients	Construction aggregates	Critical raw materials	Glass	Met
Lack of EU-wide quality standards for recycled materials						
Poor quality of recycled materials (e.g. containing unwanted substances/high contamination)						
Lack of information or misinformation about the quality of recycled materials	V					
Poor availability of waste/material to be recycled	V					
Poor reliability of supply for recycled materials	V					
Low demand for recycled materials (e.g. on the EU market)	V					
Cost differential between primary and secondary raw materials	V					
Organisational cost of switching from primary to secondary raw materials in industrial processes						
industrial processes						

Regulatory obstacles at national/regional/local level	▽				
Regulatory obstacles at EU level	V				
Regulatory gaps at EU level	V				
Regulatory gaps at national/regional/local level	V				
Insufficient cooperation/exchange of information along the value chain (e.g. between producers, recyclers and authorities responsible for waste management)					
Lack of reliable data on secondary raw material flows	V				
No opinion					
Other- please specify below	V				

If you think that other obstacles not listed above are relevant, please specify:

200 character(s) maximum

There are no market incentives for better uptake of secondary raw material in place, although recycling saves on greenhouse gas emissions compared to using virgin materials or burning waste

Glossary:

Bio-nutrients- Recovered material such as nitrogen, or phosphorus and organic matter (from e.g. sewage sludge and farm organic matter residues), for use as fertiliser.

Construction aggregates- Coarse particulate material used in construction, including sand, gravel, crushed stone or slag.

Critical raw materials- Critical raw materials are raw materials of great economic importance to the EU, with a high risk of disruption of supply. The European Commission has listed them here: http://ec.europa.eu/enterprise/policies/raw-materials/critical/index_en.htm

5.2. In your view, what are the most relevant actions to take at EU level to remove the obstacles you have identified as significant? Please be specific

Lack of EU-wide quality standards for recycled materials

500 character(s) maximum

Whilst recycling standards exist at EU level for some materials such as paper, there are a number of materials which are not covered by a standard. The Resource Association would welcome EU wide standards for recycled materials that could be considered equivalent with virgin materials.

Poor quality of recycled materials

500 character(s) maximum

Poor sorting at many UK Material Recovery Facilities (MRFs) are a factor in high contamination of materials destined for recycling, costing the UK recycling industry over 50 million pounds annually. Whilst it is acknowledged that a number of MRFs do produce high quality materials for recycling, mandatory separate collection of biowaste together with more emphasis on setting up systems for paper, plastics, metals+glass will help reduce contamination and improve the quality of secondary raw materials

Lack of information or misinformation about the quality of recycled materials

500 character(s) maximum

Explore certificates to acknowledge quality of recycled material via 3rd party verification. Support material recyclers to run info campaigns for recognition of the state of the art+future progress to be made, aiming to revert a sometimes misleading perception of poorer quality as the manufacturers may not risk incorporating recycled material if badly perceived by end user/consumers. See Resource Association's REQIP tool for ref.values of max.acceptable contaminant level for quality recycling

Poor availability of waste/material to be recycled

500 character(s) maximum

Significant amount of recyclable material is exported outside of UK+Europe to facilities that often do not operate to same standards. We are in favour of increased checks/controls on export loads+greater transparency and implementation of quality standards. We support better enforcement of waste shipment rules + possible mandatory certification of waste treatment facilities outside of EU which would help tackle waste crime. The latter should only be looked after the former has been tackled

Poor reliability of supply for recycled materials

500 character(s) maximum

The key issue for reprocessors in terms of reliability of supply of recycled materials remains consistency of quality of feedstock through the supply chain. We state again that a whole supply chain approach is needed, from householder to manufacturer with collection and sorting systems being integral to success. The Commission can take leadership role and working with Member States to set correct regulatory+ market signals that will drive quality from the start of the materials collection process

Low demand for recycled materials

500 character(s) maximum

Stronger use of GPP needed that requires business to design products for easy re-use/recycling. Financial rewards e.g. reduced VAT on quality secondary raw materials+shift of taxation onto virgin equivalents are other routes to be explored. Differentiate producer responsibility fees by rewarding companies that make packaging with high recycled content and possible measures for mandatory recycled content e.g. for plastics, in order to overcome market failures

Cost differential between primary and secondary raw materials

500 character(s) maximum

Demand pull measures would help overcome cost differential issues between primary and secondary raw materials. Voluntary efforts e.g.UK's dairy roadmap have proven ineffective in supporting producers of secondary raw materials where virgin equivalents compete on price (in this case due to oil price dropping+virgin polymer became cheaper). Incentives as mentioned previously are needed in absence of pricing mechanisms that integrate environmental externalities into the price of virgin materials.

Regulatory obstacles at national/regional/local level

500 character(s) maximum

UK policies do not incentivise quality recycling enough. Today, Local Authorities calculate recycling rates based on weight of materials collected at kerbside, regardless of what truly happens with this material, or how much contaminant it contains. Even bales of sorted material destined for recycling abroad contain high contaminant and are unsuitable for recycling, but still counted as recycled. UK is more lenient on quality controls of exports than its standards on what is recycled in the UK.

Regulatory obstacles at EU level

500 character(s) maximum

Implementation of EU waste and waste shipment regulation as well as $\mbox{Green Public procurement.}$

Regulatory gaps at EU level

500 character(s) maximum

In general there are a lack of regulatory incentives to stimulate demand for secondary raw materials. These should include possible mandatory recycled content in products such as plastics in order to overcome market failure; stronger implementation of GPP; differentiated EPR fees according to recycled content; differentiated VAT levels according to the waste hierarchy

Regulatory gaps at national/regional/local level

500 character(s) maximum

Same as previous question. In general there are a lack of regulatory incentives to stimulate demand for secondary raw materials. These should include possible mandatory recycled content in products such as plastics in order to overcome market failure; stronger implementation of GPP; differentiated EPR fees according to recycled content; differentiated VAT levels according to the waste hierarchy

Insufficient cooperation/exchange of information along the value chain

500 character(s) maximum

More emphasis needed on research about where markets lie and improved information dissemination to manufacturers that many quality secondary raw materials are not 'inferior in quality' than the virgin equivalents. Ensure that financial support is given to secondary raw materials during periods where virgin material is cheaper that the secondary equivalent to avoid destroying the recycling sector

Lack of reliable data on secondary raw material flows

500 character(s) maximum

More publically available data and transparency needed throughout the waste and resources chain. To encourage better source separation of recyclables, there must be more transparency to citizens about what happens to waste once it has left the household. A You Gov survey showed that 70% of respondents wanted more info about what happens to recycled materials and 32% would be more likely to source separate their recyclables if they did know. See RA's "End Destinations of Recycling Charter"

5.3. Which secondary raw materials markets should the EU target first to improve the way they work?

at most 3 choice(s)

Other — please specify below

T M	ost 3 choice(s)
	Bio-nutrients (e.g. nitrogen, phosphorus and organic matter from e.g. sewage sludge and
	Bio-nutrients (e.g. nitrogen, phosphorus and organic matter from e.g. sewage sludge and farm organic matter residues) for fertiliser use Construction aggregates (i.e. coarse particulate material used in construction, including
	Construction aggregates (i.e. coarse particulate material used in construction, including sand, gravel, crushed stone, slag)
	sand, gravel, crushed stone, slag)
	Critical raw materials such as rare earth elements or certain precious metals
	Glass
	Metals
1	Paper
1	Plastics
	Wood/Biomass

If you think that other approaches not listed above should be considered, please specify:

500 character(s) maximum

All options mentioned could possibly be improved with relevant and specific approaches and it is very difficult to make trade-offs between the different materials.

Please give reasons for your choice:

Paper

 ${\tt EU-wide}$ end-of-waste criteria for paper would improve the functioning of the recycled paper market in the ${\tt EU}$

Please give reasons for your choice:

Plastics

Plastics are used in many different forms, and in many different products. In many cases plastics can be difficult to recycle e.g. through the use of laminates. The use of recycled plastic has greatly increased in recent years, but there is still substantial room for improvement, through developing product standards and developing technology to incorporate recyclates. Mandatory recycled content in plastic products could help advance this and overcome market failure

Please give reasons for your choice:

Other

All options mentioned could possibly be improved with relevant and specific approaches and it is very difficult to make trade-offs between the different materials.

5.4. Do you have any other comments about the development of markets for secondary raw materials?

500 character(s) maximum

More emphasis needed on research about where markets lie and improved information dissemination to manufacturers that many quality secondary raw materials are not 'inferior in quality' than the virgin equivalents. Ensure that financial support is given to secondary raw materials during periods where virgin material is cheaper that the secondary equivalent to avoid destroying the recycling sector

6 Sectoral measures

Certain sectors may require a tailored approach in order to 'close the loop' of the circular economy, and some could be made strategic priorities in order to accelerate the transition.

This section seeks your views on which sector(s) should be considered a priority for EU action, and which relevant measures or actions should be taken.

6.1. In your view, which sectors should be a priority for specific EU action on the circular economy and why?

at most 3 choice(s)
Agriculture
Bio-nutrients (e.g. from sewage sludge or farm organic matter residues) for use in fertilisers
Chemical industry and process manufacturing
Construction/demolition and buildings
Electrical and electronic goods
Energy
Fisheries/ aquaculture
▼ Food and drinks, including reduction of food waste
Forest-based and other bio-based products
Furniture
Information and communication technologies
Mining and quarrying
▼ Plastics
Retailing
Services
Textiles
Transport
Water sector/sewage treatment
▼ Other- please specify below

If you think that other sectors not listed above should be considered, please specify:

All options mentioned could possibly be improved with relevant and specific approaches and it is very difficult to make trade-offs between the different materials/products/sectors

6.2. For the sectors that you have selected, what measure(s) would be needed at EU level?

Food and drinks, including reduction of food waste

500 character(s) maximum

Separate collection of biowaste needed as well as a mandatory food waste reduction target

Plastics

500 character(s) maximum

More demand pull measures needed to protect plastics recycling industry from competition with virgin polymers

Others

500 character(s) maximum

All options mentioned could possibly be improved with relevant and specific approaches and it is very difficult to make trade-offs between the different materials/products/sectors

7 Enabling factors for the circular economy, including innovation and investment

Enabling factors are essential to support the development of the circular economy could include supporting the development, dissemination and uptake of innovative solutions, investing in technology and infrastructure, supporting SMEs and developing the required skills and qualifications.

This section seeks your views on the role of these enabling factors in the development of the circular economy.

7.1. How important are the following enabling factors in promoting the circular economy at EU level?

	very important	important	not very important	not important	no opinion
Financing innovative projects or technologies relevant to the circular economy (from EU funds, e.g. Horizon 2020)	0	•	©	©	0
Public incentives (e.g. financial guarantees) for private investors to finance projects conducive to the circular economy	•	0	©	©	0
Support for the development of circular economy projects (e.g. technical assistance)	0	0	•	•	©

Support for innovative systemic approaches and cross-sectoral cooperation (e.g. industrial symbiosis and cascading use of resources)	©	•	©	©	•
Partnerships with public authorities to help innovative businesses overcome potential legal obstacles to innovation	•	0	0	•	©
Promotion of innovative business models for the circular economy (e.g. leasing and sharing)	0	•	0	0	0
Specific measures to encourage the uptake of the circular economy among SMEs	0	•	0	0	©
Exchange and promotion of best practice	0	•	0	0	0
Promoting the development of skills/qualifications relevant to the circular economy	0	•	•	0	0
Support for capacity-building in public administrations	0	•	0	0	0
Support for market penetration of innovative projects through labelling, certification and standards, public procurement for innovation, etc.	•	•	•	©	•
Better monitoring the implementation and impact of policies contributing towards the circular economy agenda	•	0	0	©	0
Increasing the knowledge base by collecting and					

providing information and data e.g. on material flows, technologies and consumption patterns	•	•	•	•	•
Other- please specify below	0	0	0	0	0

7.2. Do you have any other comments about enabling factors to promote the circular economy?

500 character(s) maximum

Introduce a set of indicators on resource consumption, including imports and exports, measuring at least their material, land, water and carbon footprints at the level of the EU, Member States and industrial sectors. Full implementation and proper enforcement of existing legislation on waste and resource management needed. EU funds must be invested in waste prevention, re-use and recycling rather than landfilling and thermal treatment.

8

Upload documents

If your erganization prepared a dedicated position paper or wants to share any other related materials with the Commission, please use the upload function:

· ebab073e-0e67-4185-adf8-ed0b637e4772/RA Position CEP Position Aug 2015.pdf

Contact

■ ENV-GROW-CIRCULAR-ECONOMY@ec.europa.eu